Case 1:14-cr-00068-KBF Document 196 Filed 02/25/15 Page 1 of 104 F1ddulb1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 14 Cr. 68 (KBF) V. ROSS WILLIAM ULBRICHT, 5 6 Defendant. 7 -----x 8 New York, N.Y. January 13, 2015 9 9:20 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. KATHERINE B. FORREST, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 PREET BHARARA, United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York 17 SERRIN A. TURNER TIMOTHY HOWARD 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 JOSHUA LEWIS DRATEL LINDSAY LEWIS 20 JOSHUA HOROWITZ Attorneys for Defendant 21 22 - also present -23 Special Agent Vincent D'Agostino Molly Rosen, Government Paralegal 24 Nicholas Evert, Government Paralegal Linda Moreno, Defense jury consultant 25

1 THE CLERK: In the matter now on trial, the United States of America versus Ross William Ulbricht, 14 Cr. 68. 2 3 Counsel, please state your names for the record. MR. TURNER: Good morning, your Honor. Serrin Turner 4 5 for the government. With me at counsel's table is A.U.S.A. 6 Timothy Howard, FBI Agent Vincent D'Agostino and two paralegals 7 from our office, Nicholas Evert and Molly Rosen. MR. HOWARD: Good morning, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Good morning. All right. I am just 10 getting Mr. D'Agostino. I see he is over here. OK. Terrific. 11 MR. DRATEL: Good morning, your Honor. Joshua Dratel 12 for Mr. Ulbricht, who is standing beside me. With me at 13 counsel table is Lindsay Lewis, of my office, Joshua Horowitz, 14 and also Linda Moreno, who is an attorney who is assisting us 15 with jury selection. THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you. 16 17 COUNSSEL: Good morning, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: We've got a couple of housekeeping matters 19 to raise right now and then we'll take whatever issues you 20 folks may have in addition. 21 We're going to get the jury, I think, the panel, about

We're going to get the jury, I think, the panel, about 10 o'clock. That is my guess. The reason for the delay is while we've got our own set of folks, there is another very significant case that is picking a jury today as well, and so there is a lot of folks coming in downstairs. And when we do

22

23

24

25

that, the plan is if all 91 or 92 show up, we'll take about 60, who will fit in a this room, or so, and they will come in and we'll then start from there. So we'll have actually a group of some number, if we get full attendance, who will stay in the other room for a period of time.

All right. Now, one thing that I want to make sure you folks all realize is that while a lot of letters come into the Court's email, copied to both sides, unless they are under seal, we've got to make sure, for purposes of the overall record, that everything is on the docket. We've done our best to try to ensure that whatever we've received, if it is under seal, that it has been placed in the sealed vault. If it is ex parte it is also placed in the sealed vault with a notation of that, and if it belongs on the docket because it does not require being under seal, which should be everything possible, then that belongs on the docket.

So I want to have you folks take individual responsibility for ensuring that whatever you have sent to the Court is appropriately captured in that universe. In other words, there should be nothing which you think I have in a file in my office. All right? Because if one day somebody has to reconstruct the file, I want them to be able to reconstruct it in its entirety. So we've done what we can. Going forward, it will be easier but let's just get all ourselves reconciled in that process.

Now, there is one open item from Mr. Dratel's letter of January 9th. I did not receive a response from the government, that I'm aware of, relating to the oral presentation of the Internet evidence. Mr. Turner.

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, our position would be these are records just like any other records. They can be read to the jury. You have wire transcripts that are often read to the jury. This is not an unusual situation. And I don't even know how mechanically we would work it so that you would type these chats out and have them shown to the jury that way.

THE COURT: Let's back up a little bit. It is typically the case that wires are in their -- you've got your line transcriptions and they will be highlighted, and the government typically has the agent recite what is on the screen, or whichever witness is -- sometimes it is a cooperating witness who was a party to that, just to literally read out the words on the page. That is a different process from what I understood you folks were proposing here, which was to have two folks basically be the voices, if you will, of the Internet communications. So let's separate out the two because, as I understand it, the thrust of the letter is towards this back and forth between these voices, the two voices, as opposed to the first, more typical way, which is on the screen.

So as to the two voices, are you folks willing to

forgo that and let's see if we can narrow this, and then we will get Mr. Dratel's position on doing it the traditional way?

MR. TURNER: Well, your Honor, I certainly -- I mean, I myself have had cases with wires, for example, where the transcripts were read in exactly that way, with two parties, one reading one voice, the other reading the other. I think it makes it easier for the jury to understand rather than have the whole thing in one person's voice. I think your Honor already addressed this issue of, you know, inflection and that sort of thing in terms of reading it in an unfair way. I really don't think that will happen, but that is something the Court can address at that time, if it does happen.

We are simply -- I should just clarify, I think we're only planning on doing that where we have lengthy chats that we may not have -- we may not introduce in the course of a particular witness' testimony but read them after a witness testifies. So I think there will be a number of chats where we read the whole thing in, because it is, you know, only half a page and we don't do the two-person reading of the document. But for lengthy interchanges I think it will make it easier for the jury to understand. I really don't think it would be prejudicial to the defendant in any way. If that circumstance does arise, the Court can at that time decide maybe to do it differently. I don't think it will be a problem.

THE COURT: I hear that position. The defendant has

presented, I think, an issue that's different from that, which is that wires are a type of intended oral communication and that the written chats, while they are called "chat," which has an oral connotation, the written chats were not necessarily intended to be orally communicated and so that there is a difference between the two. And there might be a way in which the jury then is brought to believe a particular — or have a particular view of the chat when it is rendered into oral comment.

MR. TURNER: So it seems to me there are two issues.

If that is the issue, that it is a written communication, I really don't think that is an issue because all the time you have letters, you have contracts, you have all sorts of documents that are constantly used as evidence at trial, and it is absolutely routine to read from those documents, you know, even in their entirety sometimes. Just because it was originally written doesn't mean you can't communicate it to the jury orally in some way.

THE COURT: That is exactly, Mr. Turner, why I was separating out the two issues, which is what I was calling the typical way, which is that written things are communicated orally but not through, as I understood it, a paralegal or two paralegals who were going to not act the part, because they would simply be reciting the words, but for purposes of this discussion act the part.

MR. TURNER: Right. It seems to me there are two issues. One, should a written communication be transmitted orally? To me, I think the answer is clearly yes. It happens all the time. The other issue is is there some danger of unfair prejudice if you have parties reading it in this way that they will engage in certain inflections or that sort of thing. I believe the Court has already sufficiently addressed that.

So our position would be we should proceed as we originally planned, and if for some reason the evidence is introduced in a prejudicial way, we address that at that time, but I don't think there is any reason to expect or anticipate that at this point.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dratel, let me have you address also these two buckets that I've separated the issue into. One is having this proceed in what I would call the typical fashion of it. There is a written document. It is on the screen. Either one or sometimes the prosecutor will with a witness do a back and forth and that is very typical, and that is different in the Court's view of potentially from what I am for purposes of this discussion calling the paralegal version.

MR. DRATEL: This is different in the sense that it is not an isolated letter or paragraph or something like that, which, by the way, if someone objected to, it might be appropriate in the context of the case. So I am not suggesting

that because things are done traditionally that somehow it wouldn't necessarily also be subject to the same type of problem if in fact the communications were designed for a certain type of absorption by the recipient.

In this instance it is very much the case that chats are designed to be absorbed through reading, not through hearing. So there are a couple of problems. One, the Court has already identified the distinction between transcripts of conversations that are oral to begin with and these chats. One is that there are a fair number of nonverbal parts of these communications, symbols, emotions, things like that, all of that which is not necessarily communicable in an oral context.

The second part is that these — the way that a person perceives and absorbs the information is very much tied to the medium that it is in. So to hear it may mean that the jury is not reading it, and they really ought to read these. These were meant to be read. They are a written medium, and it is a new — it is different. It has evolved over time. So that it is not a letter that people often read aloud in the context of a group. You don't have that in a chat context. It is not the way they exist. It is very personal. It's very intimate on a certain level, and it is designed for that medium in a way that letters do not replicate in any way. And I think that, again, because of also just the elements in there that aren't verbal, and we want the jury to absorb them in the way that they were

provided to be absorbed and not to hear it and not to read it from the screen.

Also, these chats will be in evidence so it is not an issue of the jury's access to them. They can read them on the screen. Often we have transcripts on the screen that juries follow along while they are hearing them because sometimes they are not even audible so they read them more than hear them to begin with. So juries are not — it's not an unusual issue for a jury to read a document rather than to hear it. It is not — I mean, in the sense that oftentimes it is simultaneous. Here we are saying it shouldn't be simultaneous, it should be exclusive for purposes of reading.

THE COURT: All right. So here is what my ruling is at this point in time, and we can reassess this ruling if and how this plays out there is a need to reassess it and it becomes obvious that things should change. But what I am going to do is to have the government proceed in the manner that it would typically proceed and not do anything different. In other words, we are not going do it with the paralegals. The prosecutor can proceed with either a witness on the stand or the prosecutor with another prosecutor. I will then give a limiting instruction stating that these were originally written. They were in no sense — there is no indication that they were orally communicated. The jury should understand that. The jury should read them. They are meant to be read.

The jury should note the punctuation and emoticons. But there is an interest in the convenience of conveying the information to the jury in a manner in which we can all be clear in court of what's happening at the same time, and it would be novel to have a document where there is a particular piece of evidence pointed out where there is not some specific reference to the text of that through oral communications. Trials are about the typically sensory communication of evidence.

So we'll proceed that way. Let's eliminate the paralegal version of this and just have the government proceed as they would normally have proceeded in some other case, and I'll give the instruction as to how this material should be taken and reviewed. All right?

Now, in terms of the next --

MR. TURNER: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TURNER: May I just inquire with one question?

So if the government wishes to put in a -- read in a chat after a witness has left the stand, should the prosecutor just read it in in its entirety rather than having a two-way reading of it, just the prosecution --

THE COURT: What I am suggesting is don't introduce other people into the process who appear to be actors in a way, even if they are just paralegals. If you and Mr. Howard want to just read back and forth, you can read back and forth. But

I don't want other people coming in for purposes of assuming a position on the stand or somewhere else where they are doing a reading as if it's a dialogue in a scene from something. All right?

MR. TURNER: Yes.

THE COURT: So we'll do it the old-fashioned way, the way it is typically and normally done at trial and nothing specific.

Now, I was supposed to get an updated exhibit list from the government. Do you folks have one, or is there not one? If there is not one, then that is fine.

MR. TURNER: There is one, your Honor, and we can provide that as well as an updated disc of exhibits.

THE COURT: All right. Is there an updated witness list, or is the witness list that is operative that which you have already shared?

MR. TURNER: There is an updated witness list and we can provide that as well.

THE COURT: And have you shared all of the names at this point?

MR. TURNER: Yes.

THE COURT: In the past you have always shared everything with the defendants. They have all the names and they have that information?

MR. TURNER: Yes.

THE COURT: Terrific. Thank you. I have received an updated witness list.

In terms of the jury selection, let me just tell you folks, give you a short reminder as to how it is going to proceed. The folks will come into the room, and Joe will randomly from his box spin the box. My method is to put the 12 in the panel in the box, and of the first group there will be four alternates. Also put into the first group we'll be working for the initial questions with all 16 but the peremptories will be against the 12 first and then separately against the four as the alternates. All right? So the peremptories are the first peremptories, 10 to the defense, 6 to the government in six rounds simultaneous, and then a separate two-round, one each, as to the alternates. That will be done separately and I will make it clear when that is happening.

The jurors are going to be given a pen along with some biographical questions because we'll eventually -- whoever is seated in the box before the peremptories will have an opportunity -- and, indeed, not opportunity, we're going to ask them to stand up and give us 30 seconds about themselves. What kind of job they have, what their spouse or significant other might do, if they've got children, what they read, what they watch on TV, sort of 30 seconds. On the back of that piece of paper, which is blank, they will be instructed when they first

come in to write down any questions to which they have a "yes" answer, and so if I put somebody from the audience into the box we will be asking them did you have any "yes" answers and picking up from there.

Again, it's use it or lose it. You can't accumulate your peremptory strikes. If you waive for a round, which happens frequently for various tactical reasons that you folks may have, it's use it or lose it.

All right. Now, what is the order of witnesses for today, if we have? Who is your first witness?

MR. TURNER: Homeland Security Investigations Agent -- Special Agent Jerrod DerYeghiayan.

THE COURT: Now, glossary. Have you folks reached any kind of agreement on any kind of glossary or technical presentation of any kind?

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. What I am going to do is assess evidence as it comes in and will then I think determine how much of the evidence appears, potentially, to be highly technical, and it may be that things are explained in such a way that it doesn't get there and/or that even highly technical concepts are explained in a way that are understandable. But if I believe that things are not understandable to the jury, that things are going too quickly and words are being thrown around that can't be understood by the average juror, we'll

talk about what might be a reasonable way to proceed at that 1 2 time. 3 Now, I have -- I think we have one other issue that we wanted to raise at this time that I think it makes sense to 4 raise in the robing room, if the defendant will consent to 5 waive his presence. If he won't, then we can do it at the 6 7 sidebar. Your choice. 8 MR. DRATEL: We can waive, your Honor, for the 9 purposes that we discussed. 10 THE DEFENDANT: That would be fine. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. So let's go on into the robing 12 room with the court reporter to discuss this one issue. 13 (Pages 15-28 sealed by order of the Court) 14 (Continued on next page) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(In open court)

THE COURT: Let's all be seated. So we now have a group of people who have reported to a room, so we'll get sort of an attendance sheet, if you will, as to who of the 90 or so folks who are supposed to come, how many have come, and then they'll hive off a bunch of them and bring them down.

Now, all of you folks who are in the seats, all of those seats will be taken. If you have any kind of disability that requires you to be seated, then indicate that to the CSO and he'll try to find a spot for you, but if you don't have a disability and could stand, we'll need you to stand.

I'm not going to right now preclude people from the courtroom. It will be up to the CSO in terms of space constraints. The family of the defendant is entitled to stay and will not be excluded from the courtroom, but others, it's depending upon the amount of space that we've got. When the jury comes in, we'll need to make room for them so they can sit down. Thank you.

We'll take a break until we hear from Joe about the jury coming in.

(Continued on next page)

(In open court)

(A jury of 12 and 4 alternates was impanelled and sworn)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to now take a lunch break for an hour. And when we come back, I'm going to give you some very brief instructions and then we're going to go right into the opening statements and then after that, we'll start hearing from our first witnesses.

In the meantime, I want to give you one instruction before you go back there and have some lunch. Joe has gotten lunch arranged and he'll give you some preliminary instructions. Because of the timing, we're going to ask you folks to stay here and on this floor and in that jury room for today at least. That's why we arranged to have lunch for you here today.

The instruction I have is not to talk to each other now or at any point in time about this case or anybody else. So if you have got any kind of device where you would communicate with anybody, don't communicate with anybody about this case, don't talk to each other about this case or anybody else that you might run into in the restroom. It's very important that the only information that you have about this case is in this room so you can listen to the evidence as it comes in at this trial.

All right. Right now you can take some lunch.

JUROR: They took our cell phones downstairs. Can we go downstairs and let people know that you're on the case?

THE COURT: That you won't be back? That makes sense. Just don't go outside the building. Get the phone.

Joe, why don't you notify the CSOs that that might occur. A CSO might be able to go down with you to facilitate, access the cell phones so the jurors don't have to leave the building. So they can speak downstairs and turn the cell phone back in. We'll see you folks at 2:30 when Joe will have you come back out into the room and you'll take your seat. All right. Thank you.

(Jury excused)

THE COURT: Let's all be seated. Joe will get things organized back there, but we did have lunch arranged already so they have got -- the jurors have an assortment of luncheon items back there.

Is there anything you folks would like to raise with me before we take our own break?

MR. SERRIN: We just wanted to let your Honor know in advance that we had one demonstrative in our opening. We have already cleared it with defense counsel.

THE COURT: So as long as defense counsel has seen it and hasn't raised any objections, then that's fine. Thank you for the advance notice.

Is there anything else?

1 MR. SERRIN: Not from the government. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Dratel. 3 MR. DRATEL: No. THE COURT: Thank you. We will come back into this 4 5 room at 2:30. At that point, I will give the normal 6 instructions on burden of proof, credibility, beyond the 7 reasonable doubt, open mind. I'll tell the jury about how I 8 have LiveNote here at my computer and the few basics. That 9 will take about ten minutes, maybe not quite. And then we'll 10 go directly into opening statements without a further break. 11 Let's take our break. 12 Thank you. 13 (Luncheon recess) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

AFTERNOON SESSION

2:31 p.m.

2 |

3 (Jury not present)

4

1

THE COURT: Anything that anybody needs to raise with me? We are ready to bring out the jury.

6

5

MR. TURNER: We are ready, your Honor.

7

THE COURT: All right. Let's bring out the jury.

8

THE CLERK: All rise as the jury enters.

9

(Jury present)

10

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's all be seated when you get to your spot. I want to give you

1112

some preliminary instructions, and then we are going to go

13

right into the opening statements.

14

ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is to decide the issues of

16

15

fact in this case. You are the deciders of fact. You are to

As I previously told you earlier today, your function,

17

base your decision on the issues of fact solely on the

18

evidence. Nothing that I say is evidence. Nothing that the

1920

lawyers say is evidence. A question is never evidence. It's

21

Objections aren't evidence. Evidence will come in in the form of testimony from the witnesses who were sworn under

22

oath and who are testifying at trial, or in the form of

24

documents which are formally received into evidence.

the answer to the question that is evidence.

25

Now, there are two kinds of evidence. There is direct

evidence and also circumstantial evidence, and I'll just spend a moment about this.

Direct evidence is something that you have or a witness has seen, felt, heard, touched, with one of their senses directly. They were there. They can tell you about X. They heard X. They saw X. Whatever -- you know, whatever they heard or saw directly, that's direct evidence.

But circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. So, in other words, you've got several of the dots but you don't have every dot in the picture, but you could infer from the placement of several of these dots along the edges that certain other facts occur. So let me give you a typical example.

Let's assume that the windows are closed and you come in this morning and it is sunny outside. Over the course of the day you see folks coming in and they're coming in and they've got raincoats on and they are dripping and there is an umbrella and it is dripping wet. Now, you can't see outside. You haven't seen the rain. But you can infer, based upon the fact that you've got people dripping wet with umbrellas, that it's raining outside. That is circumstantial evidence. It is not more complicated than that. It is taking certain facts and it's from those facts inferring other facts.

Now, both kinds of evidence are evidence that you can use as you deem appropriate in considering whether or not

certain facts have been proven at trial. Now, you're going to hear from witnesses. They're going to come to court. They're going to testify here in front of you. And you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are going to have to determine whether or not you believe them, how credible do you find a particular witness. And how do you decide that? You decide that based upon how you decide that every single day in your own life. Every single day in your own life you apply your common sense to whether or not you believe somebody. And you assess all kinds of things. What's is their demeanor? How are they presenting themselves? Are they being evasive? And we'll talk more about that at the end of the case. But it will be up to you to decide how much of a witness' testimony to believe — some of it, all of it, none of it. That will be up to you in your assessment of their credibility.

Now, in terms of the burden of proof, as I said at the very beginning, the burden of proof in a criminal case is on the government. It is the government's responsibility to prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. It is the government's burden of proof. The defendant does not carry a burden of proof in a criminal trial. And as I mentioned before, the Indictment in this case is simply an accusation; it is not proof of anything. All right? The fact that the defendant has been indicted is not proof of anything. He has pled not guilty and that's why we're here at this trial.

Now, I will instruct you at the end of the evidence about what it means to say that something is beyond a reasonable doubt. But let me just say that the defendant and his lawyers need not present any evidence in this case if they choose not to do so, because the burden, as I said before, the burden is on the government. And you can't draw any negative inference against the defendant if he does not present evidence.

Now, it's very important that you keep an open mind until the close of the evidence. Evidence is not like reading a story in chronological order. Evidence comes in in pieces. You get a little bit of it from one witness and it might be out of chronological order. You need another witness or other documents to fill in some of the other pieces. So it's very important that you keep an open mind. Because you'll hear one part of a story but you might not hear the other part of the story until later. So it is very important that you keep an open mind until all of the evidence is in.

The way it proceeds is that, in terms of the evidence, the government will present its evidence first. It is going to give its opening statement. The defendant will give his opening statement, through counsel. Witnesses will be called. The government will do direct examination. Then there is an opportunity for the defense to cross-examine a witness, if they choose to do so. And after that there may be some limited

redirect and recross. It depends on how it goes. But that is the way in which it proceeds.

Now, there may be instances where you've got friends or family who you see in the courtroom or people that you know in the courtroom. It is very important that if you have anybody in the courtroom who you know, that you let us know, and that you not speak to that person about the case. Because as you know, you come in and out of the room at times when we bring you in and out of the room, and there may be rulings on evidence and other discussions which you are not present for. And we don't want you inadvertently to be hearing things that the jury shouldn't be hearing through a friend and/or relative inadvertently. So if Joe knows about it, then we will know to be able to give a special instruction on that day. It's just a reminder of what I have said.

Now, it is very important not to speak to anybody about this case, each other, anybody at all -- a spouse, anybody in your life. You've got to keep this to yourself until the close of all the evidence, and then when you are instructed on the law you will go into the jury room and you will deliberate and talk to each other. And until you reach a verdict, you still won't talk to anybody other than each other about this case. So you can't even talk to each other until all the evidence is in and you have been instructed on the law.

Now, it's very important that you also not be exposed

to what the media has to say about this case, what newspapers have to say about this case or Internet blogs or people outside who may approach you. Do not let anybody approach you, talk about this case at all. OK? If anybody approaches you about this case, do not speak with them about this case at all.

Don't go home and do any Internet research. Don't try to become an expert in anything or follow up on your own personal research about a particular person, place or event. For purposes of this case, you just receive the evidence in this courtroom. That is the only evidence that you should receive in this case.

You don't want to -- and, actually, people have done this and it just leads to all kinds of difficulties. Don't update your Facebook page saying I'm on, you know, X case. This is what we heard today. You know, don't update your Facebook page. Don't Twitter or tweet or send emails to people about it or texts or anything else. And I will be reminding you about not talking to each other or anybody else about this case repeatedly. And you are going to be sick to death hearing me talk about it, but it is important that I keep doing it. Every once in a while I will change the way I do it so I can try to make it a little bit different.

Now, I see some of you have the pads and pens for taking notes. Take notes if you want to take notes. Different people learn in different ways and you remember things in

different ways. Sometimes it helps keep you attentive. It is up to you.

Your notes are for you and you alone. They are not for you to share with your neighbor. It is not to play Tic-Tak-Toe with your neighbor. Believe it or not, that's happened in the past. So your notes are for you and you alone. And you'll leave them here at the end of the day under your chair or if you've got a particular spot in the room, and others shouldn't be looking at your notes. They are for you and you alone.

Now, the lawyers here — everybody here in this room, and in fact everybody, if there is anybody else in the room who you ever run into, but particularly the lawyers, they're not going to say hello to you because I've told them they are not allowed to say hello to you. They are not being mean or rude or nasty. OK? It is just complicated. Because if somebody says hello and they say hello in a particular way, you're like are they trying to convey a message to me. I don't want any of that. It is just easier if I say don't say hello to any of the jurors. So they'll probably cast their eyes down or look to the side. Don't think they're being rude. I've just told them don't talk to the jurors. OK?

And you shouldn't talk to them either. It's just easier. It makes things cleaner. That way there is never a question about whether anybody meant something from a

particular hello.

Now, LiveNote. I use LiveNote. LiveNote is a particular program which allows me to get realtime feed of what I am saying right now and anything else that is said in the courtroom. So what the court reporter is writing down I have on my screen. I do not do email, I do not surf the web, you know, while I'm here on trial. If you see me looking at my screen, I'm not figuring out, you know, anything other than just what's going on, has my screen gone to sleep. If I'm tapping it, it is because I am trying to put my name back in to open it up again. That is why I look at my screen sometimes. I want you to know so that you don't think I am distracted.

All right. Now, beverages in the courtroom. My practice in my particular courtroom is that you can have beverages at your seat. You can have water. You can have hot tea. Cold tea. I really couldn't care less what kind of beverages, nonalcoholic beverages. You can have coffee. My view is what is good for the goose is good for the gander. I am going to have coffee and I don't want to do that if you guys can't have coffee.

Sometimes people find it difficult to be in their seats all afternoon without having a little something to eat because they feel like they just need a little something. I don't care. Just don't bring in Doritos where you are crunching. OK? If you just need to eat a little something,

that is fine. Whatever makes you comfortable. It's not like you are going to sit there with popcorn. This is not that kind of a situation. But my point is I want you to be comfortable. All right?

And so if you spill, own up to it. It is not a problem. That way we can make sure it doesn't get into the carpet and stain it forever more because it is the government. I don't get new carpet more than once every couple of decades. All right?

Now, we are going to go into opening statements.

Opening statements are the opportunity for the lawyers to speak to you. They have very few opportunities to speak directly to you. They have an opportunity now to speak directly to you and to give you an overview as to what they think, what their view is as to what the evidence will show. Again, what the lawyers say is not evidence. And it will be up to you to decide whether or not the evidence at trial has in fact shown what anyone predicts that it might show.

Then we're going to go from the opening statements of each side into the witnesses. And after all the evidence is done a couple of weeks from now, we will then have closing statements, or summations; it is the same thing. And that will be another opportunity for the defense counsel to then address you again about what they think the evidence has shown.

All right. I think that we're ready to proceed.

Mr. Howard.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.

This is a case about a dark and secret part of the Internet that was home to an enormous marketplace for the sale of illegal drugs. It was a website called Silk Road where anybody anywhere in the world could buy and sell dangerous and addictive drugs with the click of a mouse.

During this trial we will take you inside this dark and secret world and show you the man who controlled it all. That man, the defendant, Ross William Ulbricht, he was the kingpin of this digital criminal empire.

The defendant taught himself computer programming and in 2009 began what he described as, quote, a secret project.

Using his laptop computer, he created the Silk Road website.

His idea was to make illegal drug deals as quick and easy as ordinary online shopping. And that is exactly what he did.

Drugs like heroin, cocaine, LSD, crystal meth, all of these were available on Silk Road.

All someone had to do was go to the Silk Road website, pick their drug of choice, and have it delivered right to their doorstep. The defendant's plan worked. Thousands of drug dealers flocked to Silk Road, and more than 1 million drug deals took place on the site before the government shut it down.

As the creator and the operator of Silk Road, the

defendant was in the center of each and every one of these drug deals. Like a traditional drug boss, he made them all possible. He decided what drugs could be sold on Silk Road. He set the rules the dealers have to follow. And he also tried to protect those dealers from getting caught. And like any other drug boss, Ulbricht profited from the drug deals that took place on his territory. He took a cut of every single deal that took place on Silk Road, and with that he amassed a fortune -- \$18 million.

Also like a traditional drug boss, the defendant was vigilant to protect his criminal empire. When he thought that certain people posed a threat to Silk Road, he was willing to use threats and violence to protect his turf. But unlike a traditional drug boss, the defendant's territory wasn't a city block or even a neighborhood, it was the entire world.

And the defendant controlled his digital drug empire from behind his laptop computer. The defendant controlled everything about Silk Road, from top to bottom. As the defendant himself put it, quote, I am Silk Road, the market, the person, the enterprise, everything.

Through Silk Road, the defendant built a global online network of drug dealers, and every one of them paid for the privilege of doing business on his site. And what did the defendant give the drug dealers in return? First, he gave them a way to protect them from getting caught by giving a way to

hide the real identities and sell drugs anonymously, and, second, he gave drug dealers a whole new way to find and hook new customers. Instead of going to the local street block or street corner, a drug dealer on Silk Road could sell to anybody with a computer anywhere in the world.

And, ladies and gentlemen, that is why we are here today. We are here to pull the curtain back on this dark and secret world of online drug trafficking that occurred on Silk Road. We are here to show you that behind that curtain was one man, Ross Ulbricht, and his laptop.

So now let me just give you a preview of what I expect the evidence to show at trial. First, how did Silk Road work? In some ways it worked like any ordinary online shopping website. The Silk Road Web page displayed pictures of the products that were for sale, and you could click on those pictures to see more details. Once the users found what they wanted, they would add it to their online shopping cart and provide a shipping address and provide for payment. The shipper then shipped the purchased items in the mail. And in this way it was no different than Amazon.com or eBay and other popular online shopping sites, but there were critical differences. Let me just take a moment to show you what the Silk Road website looked like.

Now I will draw your attention to the upper left-hand corner here. You can see listings of all kinds of illegal

drugs that were on Silk Road, divided by category. And in the middle of the homepage, you see colorful advertisements for particular drugs that were offered on Silk Road. For example, here we have some French pure flake cocaine. Down in the left-hand corner we have MDMA, which is commonly known as "ecstasy." These were just a few of the products, a few of the kinds of illegal drugs, just a few examples of the thousands of listings that were available on Silk Road.

Ladies and gentlemen, around 95 percent of the items that were sold on Silk Road were illegal drugs, but drugs were not the only illegal items that were sold on Silk Road. Silk Road offered other products, like fake passports and fake identification documents, for sale. Silk Road offered tools to hack into other people's computers or their email accounts. It even offered the services of other people to do the hacking for you to hack into other people's computers.

But first and foremost, the site was designed to be an online shopping paradise for the sale of illegal drugs. The defendant tried to attract drug dealers and other criminals to the website by creating a safe haven for them, a place where they could make money selling their drugs without getting caught. The defendant did this by making it virtually impossible for anybody to trace the users on Silk Road. And there are two main ways he did this. First, he made sure that Silk Road could only be accessed in a special way. The

defendant designed Silk Road to operate on a hidden part of the Internet known as the TOR network. Anyone can access the TOR network simply by downloading some free software from the Internet.

But when someone uses TOR, no one can tell who that person is or where the computer is located. TOR hides the locations and TOR hides their identity. Thus, by making Silk Road only available through the TOR network, the defendant enabled buyers and sellers to conduct illegal transactions without leaving a digital trail that could be led back to them.

Now, the second way the defendant made Silk Road untraceable was controlling the method of payment on Silk Road. Ulbricht refused to allow Silk Road users to pay for their drugs with a credit card or a check or a bank account. Those traditional forms of payment risk exposing the identities of the people that use Silk Road. Instead, the defendant required that drug deals be paid for with bitcoins. Now, bitcoins are a digital currency. You can't hold on to them like you can hold on to a dollar bill. You will learn more about bitcoins during this trial. But the reason that he chose them for the deals on Silk Road was because they are anonymous. When someone buys something with bitcoins, they don't have to give their name. They don't have to give any account information that can be linked back to them like someone has to do when you buy something online with a credit card or a check. So unlike

these traditional forms of payment, bitcoins are designed to leave no evidence of the identity of the people using them.

In addition to designing Silk Road to protect the drug dealers that were operating on the site, the defendant designed the website to ensure huge profits for himself. You see, every time a drug deal -- every time an illegal transaction occurred on Silk Road -- Ulbricht charged a commission in bitcoins. The commission was a percentage of the overall sale, from 10 to 12 percent. And he collected millions of dollars worth of commissions from the sales that occurred on Silk Road.

He took his cut of every deal. In about three years, he amassed nearly \$18 million worth of bitcoins.

So that is the general overview of Silk Road.

Now, what was the defendant's role on Silk Road?

First of all, he came up with the idea. He designed the website. The evidence will show that he began planning Silk Road as early as 2009. By 2011, he was ready to launch his startup drug site but he didn't have any drugs to sell. So what did he do? He got some drugs. The defendant rented a cabin outside of Austin, Texas, a short drive from where he lived at the time, and set up a lab in a cabin and grew his own hallucinogenic mushrooms, illegal drugs, so that he would have something to sell on the site when it opened.

And once he had the drugs to sell, he needed to draw users to the site. So what did he do? He went on various

drug-r

drug-related discussion forums on the Internet and posted advertisements for the site, explaining how you could buy any kind of drugs you wanted on Silk Road.

Now, once Silk Road was up and running, the defendant controlled every aspect of its operation. Because he knew what he was doing was illegal, he was careful to conceal his identity while he was doing this. He didn't run Silk Road using his own name. Instead, he used an online alias, a user name, the Dread Pirate Roberts, or "DPR" for short.

Now, if you could put the screen back up, you can see a reference to the Dread Pirate Roberts right here in the top right-hand corner of the homepage, welcoming users to the site.

"A few words from the Dread Pirate Roberts."

The Dread Pirate Roberts was known across the Silk Road community as the man in charge, the man who ran it all, set all the rules, and controlled all the profits. So what are some of the things he did?

First, as I mentioned, he set all the rules for the site. And one of the most important rules for Ulbricht was that users had to pay for their drugs or their other illegal goods using the payment system on the website. He didn't want drug dealers to advertise drugs on the site, meet a willing buyer, and then negotiate a way to pay for the drugs off of the site. And why did he do this, ladies and gentlemen? Because he wanted to protect his ability to get his cut, to get his

piece of every single sale.

As an example of another rule, the defendant prohibited any users from revealing any information that could expose the true identities of the drug dealers and the other users of the site. The purpose of this rule was to prevent Ulbricht and the drug dealers on the site from getting caught.

You will hear that this rule was so important to the defendant that he was willing to use violence to enforce it.

When he believed that people were threatening to expose information about Silk Road, he even tried to have them murdered. Now, although those murders were not successful, the defendant paid hundreds of thousands of dollars to get them done. He was willing to stop at nothing to protect his criminal empire.

Now, what were some of the other ways in which the defendant controlled Silk Road? He decided what illegal drugs could be sold on Silk Road. He was the one who decided that all kinds of drugs, no matter how dangerous, no matter how addictive, could be sold on the website. He was the one who decided that fake passports could be sold on the site no matter who was buying them or what they were using them for. He was the one who decided that computer hacking tools and services were available on the site without any regard to the innocent people whose computers would be intruded on as a result.

And what else did he do as the operator of Silk Road?

He managed the day-to-day operations of the site. For example, he gave advice to members of the site to help them avoid getting caught. He advised drug dealers how to package their shipments to make it look just like ordinary business mail. And when disputes arose between drug dealers and users on the site, he stepped in and helped resolve them.

Just as the defendant designed it to be, Silk Road quickly became a place where thousands of drug dealers flocked to sell drugs day in and day out. In fact, the site attracted so many drug dealers that it became too big for him to handle by himself. So he hired a staff of about ten people to help with the day-to-day operation of the site. Some handled questions from drug buyers about how to use the site. Others did computer programming to help maintain the site. The defendant never met any of these employees in person. He knew them purely online, but he supervised them just like any other boss, and paid them salaries amounting to hundreds of thousands of dollars over time.

Finally, the defendant controlled the flow of money through the site. He designed the Silk Road payment system and permitted payment through bitcoins only. He charged a hefty commission in bitcoins on each sale. And as criminals racked up sales on Silk Road, as drug dealers made over \$200 million in drug sales on Silk Road, the defendant made a fortune for himself on his commissions.

The defendant took many steps to conceal his role running this criminal enterprise. As I mentioned, he was known on Silk Road only by his alias, the Dread Pirate Roberts. But he was also careful not to let many people who were close to him know what he was doing. He kept most of his friends and his family in the dark about his secret life. But because of how much time he was spending running this criminal empire, sometimes he thought it was difficult to keep the secret.

His friends didn't understand why he didn't have any free time. The defendant confided to one of his Silk Road employees that he wished he could just explain to his friends why he didn't have free time, that he could scream at them that he was busy because, quote, I'm running a multimillion dollar criminal enterprise.

Now, while Ulbricht was making millions off his criminal enterprise, he was careful not to lead a lavish lifestyle that would draw suspicion. He deliberately kept a low profile to protect his secret. He saved his money, planning for a day in the future where he might move to another country in the Caribbean where he could begin spending it.

Although the defendant tried to protect his identity as the operator of Silk Road, he made some critical mistakes along the way and ultimately his secret was exposed. Law enforcement agents figured out that Ulbricht was the person using the alias Dread Pirate Roberts, that Ulbricht was the

founder, the owner, and the operator of Silk Road. In October 2013, the defendant's luck finally ran out and he was arrested.

Now, the day of his arrest probably started as a typical day for the defendant. He was living in San Francisco at the time. He spent the morning in his apartment, and in the afternoon he left his house to do his daily work, running Silk Road. Since he ran the website from behind a laptop computer, all he needed was an Internet connection. So on this day he decided to go to a public library with his laptop. It was about a five-minute walk from his apartment. And as he walked to the library, he had no idea that he was being followed by FBI agents.

The defendant arrives at the library, finds a table, sits down and opens his laptop, and starts working on Silk Road. He struck up an online conversation with someone he knew as one of the Silk Road employees, a member of his customer support staff. But what the defendant didn't know was this person was not really a Silk Road employee but an undercover federal agent. And as the defendant typed away at his laptop, he had no idea that federal agents in plainclothes were right there in the library watching his every move.

So when the agents were in place and the undercover federal agent confirmed that he was chatting online with the Dread Pirate Roberts, the agents in the library made their

move. They arrested the defendant. They grabbed his laptop before he had a chance to close it. And what was the first thing they saw on his computer screen, ladies and gentlemen? Silk Road. They saw the defendant was right in the middle of a conversation, the conversation with the undercover agent. The Dread Pirate Roberts had been unmasked as Ulbricht, the defendant.

Now, that's an overview of what I expect the evidence at trial to show. So how will we prove that to you? How will you know by the end of this trial that this defendant was the Dread Pirate Roberts who ran Silk Road?

First of all, the defendant was caught redhanded. The defendant was arrested at that library in San Francisco while using his laptop in the middle of the online chat with the undercover agent. You will hear testimony from that undercover agent. He will tell you about how he investigated Silk Road for nearly two years and was able to successfully infiltrate the site as a trusted member of the Dread Pirate Roberts' customer support staff.

He will explain how he monitored the activity of the Dread Pirate Roberts online on that day of the defendant's arrest and watched the defendant as he went into the library. He'll explain how he started chatting with the defendant online after he saw the defendant enter the library.

Um also hear from a member of the FBI who was at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

library watching the defendant. And you will see photographs taken of the screen of the laptop moments after the defendant's arrest.

Those photographs show exactly what the defendant was doing at the time that he was arrested. Them show the defendant was chatting with the undercover agent as the Dread Pirate Roberts. The photographs of the laptop will also show the defendant was logged into the Silk Road website under the user name Dread Pirate Roberts when he was arrested. And he wasn't just in any part of the website. He was logged into a special restricted part of the site that he called his mastermind page, a special control panel that he could use as the site administrator to monitor and control the entire site. The defendant was literally caught with his fingers at the keyboard running the Silk Road website.

But that's just the beginning. After he was arrested, agents found a mountain of additional evidence on the laptop that he had been using at the time that he was arrested. laptop contains all sorts of files showing the defendant's operation of Silk Road. And what were some of those files? The defendant kept a personal journal on his laptop, a digital diary, ladies and gentlemen, and that journal contains devastating confessions by the defendant.

In this journal the defendant described in his own words how he launched and how he operated Silk Road, including

the story I told you about how he had to grow his own illegal hallucinogenic mushrooms in a cabin, how he set up his own drug lab in order to set up the site.

The journal also describes about how excited he got as the site, as Silk Road, got bigger from year to year. There are also chat logs on the laptop, transcripts of online communications that he had with other Silk Road employees.

These chats will show the defendant was involved in running every aspect of Silk Road on a daily basis. And there is a lot more on the defendant's laptop, including a to do list with tasks related to running Silk Road, spreadsheets that track expenses related to Silk Road, and lists of the computers that he had around the world to run the website. The laptop also contained the defendant's enormous profits. It contained bitcoins worth approximately \$18 million at the time of his arrest.

Beyond this extremely damning evidence, I'm hear still more evidence the defendant controlled Silk Road. I'm hear how the defendant confessed to a long time friend of his from college. When the defendant was first developing the site, he sometimes turned to a friend for programming advice. Well, you will hear from that friend at this trial. The friend will tell you that in 2010, in 2011, when he was working as a computer programmer, the defendant started calling on him to ask him help on computer programming questions. The friend will tell

you that he repeatedly asked the defendant, s hey, what are you working on? What kind of website are you building? And the defendant would refuse to answer, telling him that it was top secret.

Eventually the friend told the defendant that he wouldn't provide any help any more unless the defendant told him what this secret website was. So the defendant eventually gave in. He told his friend that he was running a website that sold illegal drugs. You will hear how the defendant showed his friend the Silk Road website and bragged that he was the mastermind behind the entire thing.

You will also hear from a federal agent who first identified the defendant as the Dread Pirate Roberts based on telltale clues he left behind on the Internet. In 2011, when he started the website, he publicized, he advertised the site on various drug-related forums on the Internet. Then he will show you those Web pages and explain to you how he was able to trace them to the defendant, which is what led law enforcement to him.

You will hear from some other witnesses. You will hear from a drug dealer who sold heroin on Silk Road. Now, that witness has pled guilty to serious drug offenses, but he's here to give you an insider's view of how Silk Road operated from one of its many — from one of its thousands of drug dealers. He'll tell you his story about how he himself owned a

legitimate business but he was overwhelmed with his own expensive addiction to heroin. He'll tell you about how he discovered Silk Road and almost overnight was able to become a bigtime drug dealer on Silk Road.

Within days he was able to easily set up an account in Silk Road and start distributing heroin that he had purchased in New York all over the United States. The defendant made it possible for this man, who had never sold drugs before in his life, to distribute heroin to literally thousands of people across the country.

You will also hear from a computer scientist who had examined the computers which ran the Silk Road website. He will tell you about the data that was recovered from the servers and the huge volume of drug transactions that are reflected in the data.

You will also hear about drug buys that undercover agents made on the Silk Road website, how they went on the Silk Road website and ordered drugs, and how those drugs then arrived in the mail.

These are just some highlights of the proof you will see and you will hear. We submit to you that by the end of this trial you will find that the evidence provides a detailed and interlocking account of how Ross Ulbricht was the Dread Pirate Roberts, the owner and the operator of the vast criminal enterprise that was Silk Road.

Now, before I conclude, I just want to take a brief moment to talk about the legal charges in this case. First, the defendant is charged with drug trafficking crimes based on the drug deals that he brokered and that he profited from in operating Silk Road.

The defendant is also charged with committing crimes relating to other types of criminal activity that he brokered and profited from through Silk Road. He is charged with trafficking in fraudulent identification documents based on the fake passports and the fake ID's that were sold on Silk Road.

He is also charged with a computer hacking crime based on the computer hacking tools and the services that were sold on Silk Road, every one of which the defendant profited from.

And, finally, the defendant is charged with money laundering based on the bit coin-based payment system used on Silk Road, which the defendant designed to conceal the money moving through the site from law enforcement.

This is just an overview of the charges in this case. Judge Forrest will give you detailed legal instructions on all of these charges at the end of the case before you deliberate.

At the end of this trial, after all the evidence has been presented to you, we will have a chance to speak to you again about how the evidence proves the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Between now and then, I would just ask you to do three things: First, listen carefully to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidence; second, follow Judge Forrest's instructions on the law; and, third, use your common sense, the same common sense that you use every day. If you do these three things -- pay careful attention to the evidence, follow the Judge's instructions on the law, and use your common sense -- you will reach the only conclusion that is supported by the evidence in this case -- that Ross Ulbricht, the defendant, is guilty as charged.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Howard.

Mr. Dratel.

MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.

Good afternoon. May it please the Court:

My name is Joshua Dratel, and along with the other members of the defense team, who you've already met as well, we represent Ross Ulbricht.

Ross is a 30-year-old with a lot at stake in this trial, as you can imagine. It is important, as jurors -- part of your oath -- I know you understand it -- to keep an open mind, not to draw conclusions. There is direct examination and then there is cross-examination. Cross-examination of government witnesses is where we get the opportunity to ask questions. And those questions and answers, I submit to you, will tell you the story about this case.

Use your common sense. Use your life experience. Those are the most important things you have with you to

determine the outcome of this case. The outcome, which I submit to you, after you hear all of the evidence, will be not guilty on all of the counts. Ross has pleaded not guilty. It is the government's burden, as the Court has told you, to prove each and every element of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, I won't try to define that for you now but at the end of the case the Judge will, and it is an important, fundamental principle that protects all of us.

This case, to a large extent, is about the Internet and the digital world where not everything is what it seems. You and I are having this experience right now and you are perceiving it and you know I am here and you know the Judge is here and you know the government is here and Mr. Ulbricht is here and everyone else. Behind the screen, however, on a computer, it is not always so easy to tell. You don't know what or who is on the other side. You don't know if they are telling you the truth. You don't know if they are who they present to be.

You will hear evidence. You will hear how Ross is a young man with ideas, a lot of ideas, many of which he doesn't follow through with. And Silk Road was Ross' idea. He created it as a completely freewheeling, free market site that could sell anything except a couple of items that were harmful. It was kind of an experiment, an economic experiment that after a few months was too stressful for him and it got out of hand in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terms of becoming popular and what it involved -- to be involved in a site like that. So he handed it off -- you will hear, he handed it off to others.

And at the end -- I will get to the middle as well, but at the end he was lured back by those operators to be in a position, that you heard about from the prosecutor in that library that day, to take the fall for the people operating the website. Because they had been alerted that they were under investigation. They had been alerted that the walls were closing in on them. They had been alerted that time was scarce for them to escape. And Ross was the perfect fall guy because, after all, Silk Road was his idea. He was also involved in He was an investor in Bitcoin. He was a trader in Bit.coin. Bitcoin. You will hear about that. So the connection of Bitcoin and Silk Road, again, made him the perfect fall guy. So other than that day of arrest we'll see what connects Ross to the operation of Silk Road, whether it's just a digital contrivance that left him holding the bag when the real operators of Silk Road knew that their time was up.

Timelines are going to be very important in this case, what's happening on the Silk Road website, what's happening with the investigation, what's happening with Dread Pirate Roberts, who we will call "DPR." And you will hear about the DPR concept and where it comes from. It comes from a movie, earlier a book, from decades ago. Nothing particular to Ross.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They won't connect him to anything particular about Dread Pirate Roberts and that name. But look at the timeline, what's happening with Dread Pirate Roberts, what's happening with Silk Road, what's happening with Ross' life, what's happening with Bitcoin and the Bitcoin market.

Now, the government's claim, as you'll hear from the evidence, that Ross was Dread Pirate Roberts is a contradiction that's so fundamental and dramatic that it defies all of your common sense and life experience. You heard the government talk about what a mastermind, the complicated computer operations, the scrupulous security to run the most profitable, diverse black market Internet marketplace in history. Yet -and this is someone who studiously avoided revealing his identity to anyone associated with the site. That is employees -- the employees will come in, they don't know Ross Ulbricht from me. The vendors, the people who sold on the site, they don't know Ross Ulbricht from me. The purchasers of drugs on the site, they don't know Ross Ulbricht from me. confidential informants the government had operating as buyers and sellers and administrators didn't know Ross Ulbricht from me. DPR was impenetrable on that website in his meticulous consideration of his operation with communications security.

And yet they are going to tell you that the same person, this mastermind, this incredibly careful person goes to a public library, gets on a public Wi-Fi system -- open on his

F1ddulb3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

computer is also something called BitTorrent, which is a peer-to-peer file sharing program that allows anyone access to your computer who is on that same network. Some of this is technical, and regardless of your technical background, you really should use your common sense and your life experience. The technical stuff is the easy part. The common sense is the important part.

So he is in a public place, on a public Wi-Fi, with a program open that allows anyone on a huge network worldwide access to his computer. With journals going back years, with all sorts of other evidence the government is going to come in and claim is a thread from beginning to end, that Ross is the Dread Pirate Roberts? It is a contradiction that is so fundamental that it defies common sense, and you will hear all of that in the evidence.

(Continued on next page)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DRATEL: In his apartment, they found in the wastebasket handwritten notes, handwritten notes about the site. Can you imagine that that's the same person? Can you imagine that Dread Pirate Roberts would do that in a wastebasket? This is the criminal kingpin the government has described who managed and operated the most successful and profitable Internet black market website in history: Handwritten notes in his wastebasket.

You'll also hear that even before that day in September when Ross is confronted by Homeland Security investigators about materials delivered to him, phoney IDs that were delivered to him from Silk Road, he talks to them, he talks to them about Silk Road, and then what happens in the two months between then and his arrest? Does he run? No. Does he go underground? No, still living in San Francisco. Under his own name.

Does he move any money? Any Bitcoin? No. Does he destroy all the stuff on his laptop that's supposedly so incriminating, that's supposedly on his laptop? No. Did Dread Pirate Roberts do all that? You'll hear about the evidence, you'll hear the evidence what happened. There's no evidence you'll hear that he traveled with the money, that he did anything to suggest that he was a criminal mastermind keeping one step ahead of the law even after the Homeland Security visit to him in his apartment in San Francisco.

DPR. You'll see from the chats that the real DPR was extraordinarily sensitive to security, extraordinarily sensitive to law enforcement intrusion on Silk Road, law enforcement infiltration of Silk Road, law enforcement attempts to identify. You'll hear from the evidence that the real DPR was paying for information about law enforcement investigations. And you'll hear that he kept a file full of information provided to him and how by September 13, he was warned that law enforcement was in possession of his real name, and that name is not Ross Ulbricht. That is what compelled, you'll hear from the evidence, compelled the real DPR to put his escape plan into action and transfer the blame to Ross.

You'll see how Ross, naïve, ultimately became the victim of DPR and that's why he is sitting before you now as a defendant in this case, and conveniently supplied with access and all the information to his laptop, so when FBI arrived that day, he would be left holding the bag.

Also, look at Ross' lifestyle. They didn't say he didn't live lavishly, but really, when you talk about the profits of this, you'll see that money in Bitcoin is a fraction, a small fraction of what his — the profits were from the Silk Road website. Where is the rest of the money? They haven't connected any of it to Ross. There is no connection to Ross to the rest of that money. DPR has it, the real DPR.

And the whole thing about lavish lifestyles and living under the radar, people don't operate drug empires to live a simple life enjoying the creature comforts. They do it for the money. They do it for the lifestyle. They do it for the greed. You have life experience and you have common sense.

Apply it to the evidence as you hear it.

The government's premise all along is that Silk Road was the product of a master computer programmer. Doesn't fit Ross' profile. They can talk all they want about self-taught and asking questions of a friend. We'll hear about that as we get into the evidence.

And where is that money? The real DPR is out there. Silk Road II was online within six to eight weeks of Ross' arrest. The government also talked about violence and attempts at violence, but that's not charged here. Don't be distracted. It's not charged here. There's no evidence ever the people involved ever existed, you'll hear that and the government will read that in a stipulation, no evidence anyone was ever harmed or as, like I said, or even existed who were supposedly the targets.

The Internet is an unusual place. People can create and fabricate profiles of themselves and others in ways that we may not have been able to imagine 20 years ago, maybe 25 years ago now. It's like a dating site, you get all sorts of information and when you meet them in person, they may not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quite match what was on that profile. None of it may match.

When witnesses take the stand, you'll be able to judge them. You'll be able to evaluate their testimony, whether they're lying, whether they're telling the truth, whether it's a combination of both. On the Internet, how do you judge that, who you're communicating with?

Some of the concepts the government talked about you'll find out a little more, the Tor, the onion router, the part of the Internet that Silk Road existed on, this deep, dark place that was developed by the United States government for legitimate means that is used legitimately in many aspects. There's nothing deep and dark about it.

Bitcoin, another aspect, it's traded openly. value over time will be an important aspect in this case because you will hear how that \$18 million was made. Bitcoin was worth almost nothing in 2010 when an investor in Bitcoin could buy them for pennies and that it's worth hundreds and hundreds of dollars, four to \$600 by the end of 2013. Think about what kind of profit you can make just trading Bitcoin.

By the way, you know it's only anonymous in terms of the transaction between people in terms of Bitcoin, it's traceable. The transactions themselves are all traceable in what's called a block chain for Bitcoin. Bitcoin is not designed to be a fraud. Bitcoin is not designed to be a means

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of criminal activity. Bitcoin was designed to be an antifraud currency measure, digital, unduplicatable in that regard, so antifraud, and you'll hear all about that.

In regard to the witnesses, and again, by the way, just about the money, no one traced any of it back to Ross except what's on that laptop. Where is the rest of it? Let's hear the evidence or the lack of evidence.

What about the witnesses? The only one who even knew Ross and this was a friend back in the beginning and others, the drug dealer they talked about is another witness as well who have made deals with the government in exchange for their testimony to avoid the serious consequences of their own They made a choice, and you'll hear about it, to conduct. provide testimony to the government in exchange for significant, significant benefits, so that they can be in that seat instead of that seat.

So please, as the evidence comes in at the conclusion of the case I'll speak to you again obviously to sum up, but the case is simpler than it appears in the context of the technology. You have to apply your common sense. That's your best attribute and your life experience. Ross is not a drug dealer. Ross is not a kingpin. Ross was not involved in any conspiracy to do anything like that. He was not involved in any conspiracy to sell hacking software or phoney IDs or anything that's charged in the indictment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

And at the end of the case, after you hear all of the evidence and the closing arguments and deliberate, I submit to you there's only one verdict that the evidence will permit and that your common sense will permit, that Ross is not guilty on all of the counts against him.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dratel. Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a brief break and then we'll come back and have our first witness. This will be our only break until we end. We're going to end right on the dot of 5:00. So we're not going to keep you today or any day past 5:00. Let's take a short break and then we'll come back and we'll go until about ten of 5:00, all right, and then we'll have our first witness. Thank you.

I want to remind you not to talk to each other or anybody else about this case, about any impressions you have about this case, anything at all to do with this case, don't talk about it. Thanks.

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

(In open court) 1 2 THE COURT: Is there anything we need to raise before 3 we take our own break? 4 MR. SERRIN: Would you like the witness to take the 5 stand? 6 THE COURT: I'm indifferent to that. 7 Does anybody have a preference to how that choreography goes? 8 9 MR. DRATEL: I don't. THE COURT: Put the witness on the stand and that will 10 save the minute or two it takes to otherwise get the witness. 11 12 Let's take a five-minute break, seven-minute break and then 13 we'll come on back and pick up with the witness. Thank you. 14 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 15 (Recess) 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SERRIN: 23

20

21

22

24

- Agent Der-Yeghiayan, who is your employer?
- I work for Homeland Security Investigations, Immigration

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- Customs Enforcement. 1
- What is Homeland Security Investigations? 2
- 3 It's the investigative branch of Homeland Security.
- How is Homeland Security Investigations commonly referred 4 Q.
- 5 to?
- It's referred to as HSI. 6 Α.
- 7 So what's your title at HSI?
- 8 Α. I'm a special agent.
- 9 How long have you been a special agent with HSI? Q.
- 10 Α. Since 2010.
- 11 And where are you currently assigned?
- 12 I'm assigned right now to Chicago O'Hare International
- 13 Airport.
- 14 Chicago O'Hare International Airport?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- What are your duties there? 16 0.
- 17 My duties include current investigations that are related
- 18 to immigration customs crimes such as human smuggling,
- 19 narcotics smuggling, IPR, which is different rights violations
- 20 for trade mostly.
- 21 So how long have you been assigned to work at O'Hare
- 22 International Airport in Chicago?
- 23 I've been assigned at O'Hare, well, since 2010, I started
- 24 with HSI.
- 25 And you said -- you've been an HSI special agent since

- 1 2010?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And how about before that, any prior law enforcement
- 4 | experience?
- 5 A. Yes, I was also a CBP officer, Customs and Border
- 6 Protection Officer at Chicago O'Hare.
- 7 | Q. When did you do that?
- 8 A. I started around 2003. I was hired on by Immigration
- 9 Naturalization Service IMS inspector, which turned into Customs
- 10 | Border Protection.
- 11 | Q. How about after that?
- 12 A. After O'Hare, I was also assigned as a counterterrorism
- 13 response worker in 2005.
- 14 | Q. And after that?
- 15 A. And then also I was assigned as a narcotic rover at Chicago
- 16 | O'Hare.
- 17 | Q. Explain what a narcotic rover means.
- 18 A. There's officers that are designated at the airport to try
- 19 | to find narcotics that are being smuggled through.
- 20 | Q. So how long have you been at O'Hare International Airport
- 21 as a law enforcement officer in some capacity?
- 22 | A. Since 2003.
- 23 | Q. Are you familiar with the website known as the Silk Road?
- 24 A. Yes, I am.
- 25 | Q. And did there come a time when you opened up an

- investigation of the website?
- 2 Yes, I did. Α.
- 3 When was that? 0.
- It was October 2011. 4 Α.
- 5 And how did your work at the airport at Chicago O'Hare lead
- you to open an investigation of the Silk Road website? 6
- 7 A. We also cover, as part of O'Hare, there's a mail unit
- that's assigned to the grounds that's an international mail 8
- 9 unit, so we were getting in and receiving lots of drugs that
- 10 were coming in through the mail through letter class mail,
- 11 unusual drugs.
- 12 So O'Hare is an international airport, right?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 So in addition to receiving passengers from foreign
- 15 countries, does any international mail come through O'Hare
- 16 International Airport?
- 17 Yes, it does. Α.
- Where does that mail come from? 18
- 19 It comes from various countries from international
- 20 carriers.
- 21 What do you mean international carriers?
- 22 It's -- so it would be the -- an airline that's operated by
- 23 a foreign government bringing in the mail.
- 24 So, an airline from, let's say, the Netherlands what would
- 25 that be?

- From the Netherlands, that would be KLM airlines.
- And they bring in the Netherlands' mail? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, they do. Α.
- 4 After a plane lands at O'Hare International Airport, after Q.
- 5 an international flight lands, what happens to the mail?
- 6 The mail that is on the plane is then taken off the
- 7 airfield and taken over to the inspection area, which is in the
- mail unit. 8
- 9 And who inspects it? Q.
- 10 The Customs Border Protection officers. Α.
- 11 What do they inspect it for?
- 12 They search for various things, again, a lot of trade
- 13 violations, anything that violates pretty much U.S. law that's
- 14 being imported.
- 15 Q. How do they inspect it generally?
- 16 Usually with x-rays and with a canine detection dogs. Α.
- 17 Any warrant required to do that? Q.
- 18 No, there's no warrant required. Α.
- 19 Why not? Q.

- There's a border search authority --Α.
- 21 MR. DRATEL: Objection, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 There's a border authority that officers have that they
- 24 don't need a warrant to search incoming mail coming in.
- 25 So what happens if contraband is found in incoming

- international mail?
- If contraband is discovered, the officers will seize it and 2
- 3 it will be documented.
- 4 And what involvement, if at all, do you yourself have in Ο.
- 5 searching incoming international mail at O'Hare?
- As assigned as a special agent to O'Hare, I will -- if 6
- 7 there's a narcotic seizure, generally we're notified by Customs
- Border Protection to investigate the seizure. 8
- 9 Q. So tell us about the mail seizures that eventually led you
- 10 to open an investigation of the Silk Road website.
- 11 There was -- while I was assigned or I would take -- make
- 12 routine trips to the mail unit to pick up various things that
- 13 either the officers would seize and also to look at the various
- 14 things that were suspicious that might lead to investigation,
- 15 and while I was there one day, an officer brought to my
- attention --16
- 17 What time frame is this?
- This is approximately June 2011. An officer brought to my 18
- attention several --19
- 20 MR. DRATEL: Objection, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Hold on one second. Overruled.
- 22 Without telling me anything the officer said, what did you
- 23 see when you went to the mailroom?
- 24 I saw there was packages, there was mail envelopes from the
- 25 Netherlands containing ecstasy pills in them.

4

7

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- How many ecstasy pills?
- Each envelope, there were multiple envelopes, and they 2 Α. 3 contained one or two pills per envelope.
 - And how were they packaged? Q.
- 5 They were packaged in -- it was almost like a 6 commercial-like envelope that also had -- they tried to conceal

the drugs inside by wrapping it up in vacuum seal and foil.

- What was unusual, if anything, about this drug seizure? 8
 - It was unusual for me because I hadn't -- we haven't seen ecstasy being seized in the mail or in letter class like that in a lot of years, ever since I started pretty much at O'Hare.
- 12 Q. And what was unusual, if anything, about the packaging the 13 drugs were contained in?
 - A. It seemed like they went to unusual steps to try to mask it, to hide it from detection, and it looks like they also -- it was commercialized in the sense that it had sticker labels on it that were printed and there was also similarities in it, too, so the multiple envelopes shared the same characteristics, outer characteristics.
 - So before this, when you had seen drugs seized at the international mail at O'Hare --
- 22 MR. DRATEL: Objection; leading a little too much. 23 THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase.
- 24 Before this, what sort of packaging had you seen drugs come 25 in at O'Hare International Airport?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Generally with letter-class mail, you'd see it in the way that it would -- it would usually just be, like, a single occurrence. It would be in an envelope that would be almost personalized in the sense most of the time. The commercial stuff does come in every once in a while larger shipments, but the smaller stuff with just one or two pills in a commercial type of appearance was unusual because normally you would have handwriting outside the envelope versus printed sticker labels, and it wouldn't be multiple envelopes all addressed to different people across the entire United States.
- Was this seizure of ecstasy in June 2011 an isolated incident or were -- did more seizures follow?
- There were more seizures to follow. Α.
- How do you know that? Q.
- Because I asked -- I kept on monitoring the seizures that 15 Α. were at the airport and at the mail unit that were coming in. 16
 - And these seizures that you noticed coming in, was this from one country in particular or were there different countries whose mail you were monitoring?
 - In the beginning of the investigation, it was just primarily the Netherlands. After that, it did turn into other countries that we found the same stuff in other countries' mail as well.
 - So what did you notice about the number of seizures being made from the mails? Did they stay the same over time or

as well?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

- increase or decrease?
- The numbers were increasing consistently. Pretty much 2 3 every month, they would go up in volume.
 - Q. And what did you notice about the types of drugs being seized from the mail? Was it just ecstasy or did that change
 - In the same type of envelopes that we were seizing that appeared commercialized, we started seeing more than just ecstasy. We saw the drug that's commonly used in ecstasy, which is MDMA. We found it in powder and crystal form. also found LSD. We found cocaine. We found heroin, as well as
- 13 Just could you clarify what do you mean by Schedule I and 14 Schedule II.

several other Schedule I and II narcotics.

- It's a classification for drugs as far as medical use goes that's designated by the government: Schedule I being no medical use and Schedule II having very limited medical use.
- 18 Q. So, do you see the binder of exhibits that's in front of 19 you?
- 20 Α. I do.
- 21 Can you turn to what's been marked as government
- 22 Exhibit 100A in that exhibit book.
- 23 Α. Okay.
- 24 Do you recognize this -- is it one page or a set of pages?
- 25 Α. A set of pages.

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- 1 Do you recognize these pages?
- 2 Α. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

- 3 What are they? How do you recognize them? 0.
 - These are photographs that I took. Α.
 - What are they photographs of? Ο.
 - It's photographs of a seizure that we made of drugs at the mail unit.
 - MR. SERRIN: The government offers Government Exhibit 100A into evidence.
- 10 MR. DRATEL: Just note our objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 100A is received.
- 12 (Government's Exhibit 100A received in evidence)
- 13 MR. SERRIN: Would you publish government
- Exhibit 100A. 14
- 15 Q. Could you walk us through these pages and tell us what they show, first, what are we seeing on the screen here? 16
- 17 A. The first image is -- this is the -- it's the piece of 18 paper that was discovered inside the envelope that's behind it, which was a seizure that was paid from the Netherlands. 19
- 20 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, this monitor is not working. 21 It says no signal. There's something that might have been
- 23 THE COURT: Maybe from the noise we were having 24 earlier.
- 25 (Pause)

disconnected.

2

7

8

9

- I'll get back to that in a minute. When was this photo taken?
- 3 This photo was taken December 4, 2011. Α.
- By the way, is that marked anywhere on the exhibit itself? 4 Q.
- Yes, it is. It's marked on one of the pages in the image 5 6 properties.
 - So the jury can see that, go to page six please, zoom in. Can you go back to page one.
 - So this envelope, first of all, tell me about the return address.
- 11 The return address label in the left-hand corner says studyabroad.com. It was presented like a business. 12
- 13 Did you look up that website?
- 14 I did. Α.
- 15 Q. And what did you find there?
- It didn't have the logo. It wasn't a website for what was 16 17 advertised with the same address from the knowns.
- 18 What did you find inside the package? 0.
- 19 Inside was -- it was ecstasy pills. Α.
- And what kind of paper was it wrapped in? 20
- 21 So there was a piece of paper inside and inside that piece
- 22 of paper was a silver foil, the silver foil was all
- 23 vacuum-sealed and there was a number 3 written on the outside
- 24 And then once you cut into the silver foil, there were
- 25 multiple Ziploc baggies that were wrapped around a cotton-like

material.

- 2 Could you go to the next page.
- 3 A. Next page, please. And then when cutting into the
- cotton-like material you can -- there's three pills, white 4
- 5 pills inside of it. Next page. And then these are the pills
- 6 that were discovered inside the packaging that had a speaker
- 7 logo on it.
- 8 Q. And did you do any test on the pills to determine what
- 9 substance they contained?
- 10 I field-tested it; yes. Α.
- 11 What do you mean by field-test?
- 12 There's a field test, a chemical test that we can perform
- 13 on suspected drugs; and it would react in a way that it would
- 14 let you know generally the consistencies of a certain drug.
- 15 Q. And the paper here, what did it say that you found inside?
- The paper itself was labeled the Candy Shop and it said 16
- 17 don't forget the feedback.
- 18 Q. Could you take a look at what's been marked as Government
- 19 Exhibit 100C, please. Do you recognize -- do you recognize
- 20 these documents?
- 21 Yes, I do. Α.
- 22 How do you recognize them? Q.
- 23 It's a photograph I took. Α.
- 24 What is it a photograph of? Ο.
- 25 I'm sorry? Α.

5

6

7

8

9

11

- 1 What is it a photograph of?
- It's a photograph, while at the mail unit, of packages that 2 Α. 3 we were -- that I recovered out of the Netherlands mail.

MR. SERRIN: The government offers Government Exhibit 100C.

MR. DRATEL: The same objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't you establish the time frame.

- When was the photo taken?
- The photo was taken on December 8, 2011. Α.

10 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 100C is received.

(Government's Exhibit 100C received in evidence)

- 12 Can you publish the exhibit.
- 13 What are these envelopes?
- These are envelopes that I recovered from the Netherlands' 14
- 15 mail that we had either already found drugs in similar-style
- envelopes in the past, recognized them and also other ones that 16
- 17 were suspicious.
- 18 Q. Did you actually examine the contents of all of these
- 19 envelopes?
- 20 I did. Α.
- What were they found to contain? 21 Q.
- 22 They were found to contain various drugs such as ecstasy,
- 23 LSD and amphetamines.
- 24 And over how many days were these envelopes seized?
- 25 This was just one day. Α.

- So how typical was it by the time you took this picture 1
- that you'd be seizing this many packages in a given day? 2
- 3 A. This is pretty standard early on when we started the
- investigation that we'd start out by seizing quantities such as 4
- 5 this.
- 6 So what did you do with all these letters that you were
- 7 seizing from the incoming mail at O'Hare?
- The letters themselves, the drugs would be seized and also 8
- 9 the envelopes would be seized and we were holding and
- 10 maintaining them as evidence.
- 11 Who maintained the envelopes?
- 12 Α. HSI did.
- 13 And were you involved in preserving them? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- Where is that evidence now? 15 Q.
- That evidence is stored in a vault, an HSI vault in 16
- 17 Chicago.
- 18 Q. Can you take a look at Government Exhibit 104. Do you
- 19 recognize this document?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 How do you recognize it? Q.
- 22 Α. I took this photograph.
- 23 When was it taken? 0.
- 24 Α. It was taken approximately two months ago.
- 25 And what is this a picture of?

It's a photograph of all the envelopes that we seized 1 during the course of this investigation. 2 3 MR. SERRIN: Your Honor, the government offers 4 Government Exhibit 104 into evidence. MR. DRATEL: Same objection, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: When you say all of the envelopes that 6 7 were received, what I see is something more akin to boxes. Is it your testimony that these boxes were full of envelopes? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Were they full -- were there two or three 11 envelopes in the boxes or was it just an envelope in the box 12 that was actually packed full? 13 THE WITNESS: Each box, your Honor, was filled with numerous envelopes. And on the outer side of them, it's very 14 hard to see on the image, but there's the number of the 15 individual seizures on the outside. So each box can contain 16 17 approximately about 100 envelopes or more. 18 THE COURT: How do you know each of these boxes 19 contained envelopes in the manner you've suggested? 20 THE WITNESS: I put them in the boxes. 21 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 104 is received.

Q. Can you publish the exhibit, Mr. Evert.

22

23

24

25

So how many letters approximately are in all these boxes?

(Government's Exhibit 104 received in evidence)

- Α. Approximately 3600.
- And during what time period were these seizures made? 2 Q.
- 3 This was from October 2011 through April 2013. Α.
- And how did this volume of drug seizures compare to the 4 Q.
- 5 volume of drug seizures in the O'Hare mail in years past?
- Once these seizures started, our numbers were higher than 6
- 7 any previous year.
- How much higher are you talking about? 8
- 9 Thousands higher. Α.
- 10 MR. DRATEL: Objection with respect to personal
- 11 knowledge.
- THE COURT: 12 In connection with your duties and
- 13 responsibilities, why don't you connect up what information he
- 14 had in the past and therefore what the comparison is based on?
- 15 MR. SERRIN: Sure.
- You mentioned before that you were a narcotics rover in 16
- 17 Chicago O'Hare Airport for some time?
- 18 Yes, I was. Α.
- And after that, what did you do? 19
- 20 I also was an intel officer for a few months and then my
- 21 time at CBP.
- 22 And that covered what time period? 0.
- 23 That was from 2008 through 2010. Α.
- 24 Ο. And the narcotics rover duties covered what time period?
- 25 That started 2008. Α.

Q. Go ahead.

1

- And went through early 2009. Α.
- 3 Q. And throughout that time period, did you -- what
- 4 involvement did you have in monitoring the narcotics seizures
- 5 being made at O'Hare?
- 6 A. Part of my duties were also to research, analyze and
- 7 examine seizures that were happening at O'Hare. That included
- anything that was being seized in the entire airport, if it's 8
- 9 ecstasy or if it's any other serious drug.
- 10 So from that experience, do you know how this volume of
- 11 seizures that you were making at the O'Hare International mail
- 12 during this time represented in the boxes compared to years
- 13 past?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. And so how did it compare?
- These were -- it was a complete higher increase of 16
- 17 seizures. We didn't have hardly any seizures of ecstasy in
- 18 years past and this was something that was -- we never seen
- before. 19
- 20 Q. At some point while you were making these seizures, did you
- 21 learn about the Silk Road website?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Without telling me what you heard about it, approximately
- 24 when did you hear about -- did you first hear about the Silk
- 25 Road website?

- Α. It was approximately late September, early October, 2011.
- Did you see that website? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, I did. Α.
 - Generally, what did you find there? Q.
- 5 I found it was a market that was similar to an online market that was similar to, like, Amazon, for instance, that 6
- 7 had items for sale that were mostly illegal in nature that I
- could see, drugs and various other things. 8
- 9 Q. And was there any way to see visually what the drugs looked 10 like that were being sold on the Silk Road?
- 11 Α. Yes, there was.
- 12 Q. How?
- 13 There were images that were on the website posted near all Α. 14 the listings.
- 15 I'm going to ask you a lot more questions about Silk Road later, but for now, I'm going to ask what links were you able 16 17 to make, if any, between Silk Road and the drugs you were
- 18 seizing at O'Hare?
- 19 A. We were, during the course of the investigation, we were
- 20 able to link many of the seizures that we were making there to
- 21 various vendors on Silk Road throughout a variety of means if
- 22 it was just comparative of the actual drug we saw, that we
- 23 seized and matching up the actual drug to what was being seen
- 24 online and from the same countries, for instance. So, with the
- 25 Netherlands mail that we primarily started seizing with, it had

ULB5 Der-Yeghiayan - direct

1 particular ecstasy pills that -- with certain logos on it and

- 2 certain colors for the pill and those exact same pills were
- 3 being advertised from sellers on Silk Road that were from the
- 4 Netherlands.
- And so using that, and then also conducting undercover
- 6 purchases to try to match up the envelopes that we were seizing
- 7 | at -- through the mail unit, we were able to draw conclusions
- 8 | that it came from Silk Road.
- 9 Q. So can you take a look at Government Exhibit 102D, please.
- 10
- 11 | A. 102D, Delta?
- 12 Q. "D" as in Delta.
- 13 | A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Do you recognize the pages in this exhibit?
- 15 | A. Yes, I do.
- 16 | Q. What do they consist of?
- 17 A. It consists of a photograph that I took as well as images
- 18 | that I captured, as well as screen shot I took.
- 19 | Q. When you say images that you captured, what do you mean by
- 20 | that?
- 21 A. I retrieved an image, saved an image from a website.
- 22 | Q. Which website?
- 23 A. Silk Road.
- 24 MR. SERRIN: The government offers Government
- 25 Exhibit 102D into evidence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. DRATEL: Previously -- can we have a line objection. If I have something different --

THE COURT: Yes, yes. Thank you.

Government Exhibit 102D is received.

(Government's Exhibit 102D received in evidence)

- Can you publish page one of the exhibit, Mr. Evert. Ο.
- Is this the same thing you saw before?
- Α. Yes, it is.
 - What's on -- these are the three ecstasy pills, right? Ο.
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 And they have the Candy Shop logo inside?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 0. So can you go to page two of the exhibit. And what does
- 14 this page reflect?
- This was an image that I retrieved from the Silk Road 15 16 website.
- 17 Where did you retrieve it from? Ο.
- 18 From a vendor that went by the name of Candy Shop. Α.
- 19 When you say vendor, what do you mean? Q.
- 20 On the website itself, it was -- anyone can become a user,
- 21 anyone can register as a user, and they also could choose to
- 22 register as a vendor, as well, or become a vendor and sell
- 23 anything that they wanted.
- 24 Okay. So could we cut to page three, please. What's
- 25 reflected in this page?

- Der-Yeghiayan direct
- This was a screen shot that I took of Silk Road. 1 Α.
 - And Mr. Evert, can you zoom into that part. Q.
- 3 What is reflected here?
- This is the listing from Candy Shop of ten Redbulls, 4
- 5 ecstasy pills.
- So it says seller, Candy Shop there; is that right? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.

- 8 And then ships from Netherlands? Is that how you matched
- 9 it up to a Netherlands seller?
- 10 I was able to sort the actual website from -- by
- 11 vendors that shipped from particular country, so I was able to
- 12 also search Netherlands vendors that way.
- 13 By the way, the 98, do you know what that means?
- 14 Α. I do.
- What does it mean? 15 Q.
- It's a feedback rating score for the vendor. 16
- 17 And what do you mean by that? Q.
- 18 So the website also had feedback that was on there, so as
- 19 you would leave -- as you would purchase something from a
- 20 vendor, you could leave feedback on your order and rate it.
- 21 And the score 100 would be the highest down to basically one or
- 22 zero.
- 23 Let's go on to Government Exhibit 102B, "B" as in boy.
- 24 Α. 102B?
- 25 Do you recognize this page?

- Α. Yes, I do.
- And how do you recognize it? 2 Q.
- 3 This was a photograph that I took. Α.
 - When did you take it? Q.
- I took it on December 30th, 2011. 5 Α.
- And what is it of? 6 0.
- 7 It's me holding up -- I was at the mail unit and I was
- holding up an envelope that was seized from the Netherlands and 8
- 9 I'm holding it up and it also shows the drugs as well as being
- 10 logged into Silk Road beside it on my computer.
- 11 MR. SERRIN: The government offers Exhibit 102B into
- 12 evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm not really sure what I'm
- 14 looking at. What were you --
- 15 THE WITNESS: I'm holding an envelope on the left-hand
- side and then there's drugs also below it; and then on the 16
- 17 right-hand side is my computer and me logged into Silk Road.
- 18 THE COURT: And you took that photograph all at the
- 19 same time?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 102B is received.
- 22 (Government's Exhibit 102B received in evidence)
- 23 MR. SERRIN: Thank you, your Honor. Can we publish
- 24 this exhibit?
- 25 What's on the left-hand side?

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- 1 Left-hand side is an envelope that's seized at the mail unit out of the Netherlands mail.
- 3 Q. And where are the drugs you say you seized from the
- envelope? 4

- 5 A. Below it's hard to see. It's the five dots, small, black
- holes in the bottom that are five in a row. 6
- 7 Did you test those drugs?
- Yes, I did. 8 Α.
- 9 What did they test positive for? Q.
- 10 Α. For LSD.
- 11 And what's on the right-hand side of the screen?
- 12 That was -- I logged into Silk Road and found the drugs,
- 13 the same drugs that we had -- that we had seized on Silk Road.
- 14 Where did they ship from? Q.
- 15 Α. They shipped from the Netherlands.
- Let's take a look at one more, Government Exhibit 102C, "C" 16
- 17 as in Charlie.
- 18 Do you recognize these pages?
- 19 Yes, I do. Α.
- 20 How do you recognize them? 0.
- 21 It was a photograph I took, as well as images I recovered
- 22 from Silk Road.
- 23 And how do they relate to one another?
- 24 Could you repeat. I'm sorry. Α.
- 25 How do the pages relate to one another?

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

- The seizures that we made at the mail unit were all from the same Silk Road vendor that we also recovered images from the Silk Road from that vendor.
- MR. SERRIN: The government offers Government Exhibit 102C into evidence.

THE COURT: Government Exhibit 102C is received. 6 7 (Government's Exhibit 102C received in evidence)

MR. SERRIN: Publish the exhibit, please.

- Could you explain to the jury what the envelopes in the screen reflect?
- The envelopes, each one is an individual seizure we made. And outside of the envelopes from the drugs that were recovered, we placed the drugs on top of the envelopes. The one closest to the bottom is an amphetamine that we seized, as
- 16 Walk up from the bottom.

well as ecstasy pills, MDMA, crystal.

- Starting from the bottom, we had amphetamines as well as above it five blue ecstasy pills and above that, it was crystal MDMA powder, and as well as above that, cocaine.
- 20 Q. And one of the envelopes says Dr. Amsterdam written there.
- 21 Who wrote that?
- 22 Α. I did.
- 23 Why did you write that there?
- 24 I had previously linked these envelopes to that vendor on 25 the Silk Road username.

- How did you do that while we go to the next page?
- Those are the seizures that we were seizing matching up 2 Α.
- 3 these drugs to the images that were found by that one
- 4 particular vendor.
- Q. And just to be clear, these images, is this how you found 5
- them on Silk Road, all bunched together like this? 6
- 7 Α. No.
- How did you find the images on Silk Road? 8 Q.
- 9 Each individual image was attached to the listing for that
- 10 particular product.
- 11 And you made a collage out of them here essentially?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 So, can you put the two side by side, Mr. Evert, the first 0.
- 14 page and the second page.
- 15 While he's doing that, can you just explain how you
- 16 matched them up?
- 17 So for instance, the amphetamines had the same appearance
- 18 and they also tested positive for amphetamine, which was being
- 19 advertised by this vendor. There was the same pills that were
- 20 being offered by that vendor that we were seizing, as well as
- 21 the MDMA and the cocaine. It was an unusual combination of
- 22 various drugs and the particular pill, which not all the same
- 23 vendors on Silk Road sold the same pills. They had all
- 24 different types of pills that they would sell as well.
- was -- this was a particular envelope style that was all the 25

- same that contained the same drugs that was being offered by this vendor that was on the Silk Road.
- 3 When you say unique combination, what do you mean?
- 4 For instance, one vendor might just sell ecstasy or might Α.
- 5 just sell LSD, just one drug or one type of drug.
- 6 particular vendor offered multiple different drugs and we were
- 7 able to seize those same drugs and the same pills from a
- particular style of envelope, the same style envelope. 8
- 9 Q. Let's discuss in more detail what you learned about how
- 10 Silk Road worked. How did you familiarize yourself with the
- various features of the site? 11
- 12 I navigated it myself.
- 13 Did you establish any undercover accounts on the site? Ο.
- 14 Yes, I did. Α.
- Approximately how many over time? 15 Q.
- Probably four or five. 16 Α.
- 17 And were you able to access any other user accounts that Q.
- you didn't yourself establish? 18
- 19 Yes, I did. Α.
- 20 And how did you do that during your investigation?
- 21 Various accounts would -- through the course of the
- 22 investigation would either be turned over to us by people that
- 23 we interviewed or talked to, some people we arrested, as well
- 24 as not just regular accounts but also accounts that belonged to
- 25 vendors as well as acquired administrator account.

- Der-Yeghiayan direct
- What do you mean by administrator account? 1
- It was one of the staff member accounts for Silk Road. 2 Α.
- 3 So did Silk Road have a support staff? 0.
- Α. Yes. 4
- 5 And what was the name of your support staff account that
- you eventually took over? 6
- 7 The name was Cirrus. Α.
- 8 Q. When did you take that account over?
- 9 It was July 2013. Α.
- 10 How did you -- how long did you operate that account? Q.
- 11 Α. Operated it from July 2013 until we shut down Silk Road.
- 12 When was Silk Road shut down?
- 13 October 2, 2013. Α.
- 14 Do you know when the defendant was arrested? Q.
- 15 Α. He was arrested October 1, 2013.
- So you were a staff member at the time of the defendant's 16
- 17 arrest?
- 18 Yes, I was. Α.
- 19 I'm going to come back to your Cirrus account later, but
- 20 let me ask, how often during your entire investigation did you
- 21 visit the Silk Road website through the various undercover
- 22 accounts that you controlled?
- 23 Early on, it was once every few days, but then eventually
- 24 it became everyday.
- 25 Approximately how many hours would you say you spent on the

site in total?

- Thousands I would say over the course of a few years. 2 Α.
- 3 Did you do any undercover buys on the site? 0.
- Yes, I did. 4 Α.
- 5 Approximately how many? Ο.
- Approximately 50 or more. 6 Α.
- 7 So how would you even get to the Silk Road website?
- 8 go to silkroad.com?
- 9 No. You have to use it -- use a special program referred
- 10 to as the Onion router, short for Tor, to access the actual
- 11 website.
- 12 What was the web address of Silk Road, do you remember?
- 13 It was silkroad Victor Bravo -- I'm doing it -- sorry. Α.
- 14 Just stick with the letters. Q.
- Sorry. 15 Α. Sounds all the same. Silkroadvb5piz3r.onion.
- What is a dot onion? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- What kind of web address is that? 18 0.
- The dot onion would be a website that resides within Tor. 19 Α.
- 20 So generally, what is the Tor network? What's it do? 0.
- 21 Tor, the Tor network, it's a network on the Internet that
- 22 anonymizes your traffic, anonymizes who you are and allows you
- also to visit these websites within Tor which are also known as 23
- 24 hidden services.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- 1 Q. Is there anything illegal in and of itself about the Tor
- 2 | network?
- 3 A. Using Tor itself is not illegal, no.
- 4 | Q. So is there any way to access Silk Road through the
- 5 | ordinary Internet?
- 6 A. No, there is not.
 - Q. You had to access it through Tor?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Did you access the Tor network as part of your
- 10 | investigation?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 | Q. Every time you visited Silk Road?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. Was it hard to get on the Tor network?
- 15 A. No, it wasn't difficult.
- 16 | Q. What did you have to do?
- 17 A. Visit the website, which is at torproject.org, and you can
- 18 download its program, which included -- it is a Tor program
- 19 | bundled, which included a browser that -- Internet browser that
- 20 you could use then to access this network.
- 21 | Q. And when you used this Tor browser, how was it different
- 22 | from browsing the Internet with an ordinary browser from your
- 23 perspective?
- 24 A. It was -- it is similar in features as a normal Internet
- 25 | browser, but it will allow you basically to remain anonymous

- 1 while you are using the Internet and visiting other websites.
- When you say that, what do you mean "remain anonymous?" 2 Q.
- 3 What information would it conceal?
- 4 The browser itself protects your IP address, your Internet
- 5 protocol address that you have when you are using the Internet.
- 6 What is an Internet protocol address? 0.
- 7 It is a unique number that is generally assigned to you
- when you are using a computer on the Internet. 8
- 9 Do you know how to find your IP address on your computer? 0.
- 10 Α. Yes, I do.
- 11 0. What does it look like?
- 12 Α. It is a series of numbers.
- 13 0. So give me an example.
- 14 Something like 50.19.236.250. Α.
- 15 Q. And what is an Internet protocol address used for?
- It's used to basically communicate when you are on the 16
- 17 Internet from if you want to visit a website, it needs to know
- 18 the connection. So your IP address is basically what
- 19 identifies you on the Internet as well as any other computers
- 20 that you visit or any other website you visit has to be an IP
- 21 address as well. So it helps to navigate the Internet.
- 22 In preparation for testifying today, did you prepare a
- 23 demonstration of a login of a Tor network?
- 24 Α. Yes, I did.
- 25 What kind of demonstration did you prepare?

- It's a video that I made using my computer, using software 1 to record what is going on within the computer. 2
 - When we're planing the video, can you explain the concepts we are discussing?
 - Yes, I would. Α.
- 6 Would you turn to Government Exhibit 107.

Do you see anything there?

8 Α. Yes.

3

4

5

7

9

- What do you see? Q.
- 10 It's a disc, the government exhibit -- I'm sorry, the government evidence disc that is labeled for the video I 11 12 I know that because I initialed it.
- 13 MR. TURNER: Your Honor, the government would request that we be able to play the video for the jury. 14
 - MR. DRATEL: Just our prior objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 107 is 16 17 received and you can publish it by playing it.
- (Government's Exhibit 107 received in evidence) 18
- MR. TURNER: May I approach, your Honor? 19
- 20 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 21 MR. TURNER: Mr. Evert, just let me know when you are 22 ready.
- 23 MR. EVERT: I am ready.
- 24 So Agent Der-Yeghiayan, once we put this up on the OK. 25 screen, could you just direct Mr. Evert when to play and when

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

to stop so you can talk through the video and explain what is being shown?

A. Sure.

So this is -- so I'm doing right now -- do you want to pause it real fast, actually? Sorry. Go back. On the right-hand side I, just for easy, put two websites up on there. The top one is a website that you can commonly find your IP address on the Internet, and it will also show your location on a map based off that IP address. And you can simply do that by plugging it into an Internet browser and it will run and tell you what your IP address is.

And then the second address that's on there is one that is a hidden service that I was describing on Silk Road.

- I'm sorry, a hidden service? Q.
- A hidden service that ends in ".onion." Α.
- So let's be clear. The second Web address, that is the Web 16 17 address of Silk Road or another --
 - Sorry. Silk Road was shut down by this point so it is a different hidden service.
 - Go ahead. 0. OK.
- 21 I'm sorry. If you could play -- I am going to start Α. OK. 22 by basically visiting this first website with the normal 23 browser that you would use. I am connecting to the Internet 24 right now. And I just put in a net address. If you want to 25 pause it. By inputting that address into the URL bar, it pulls

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- up the Web page and the Web page then will tell me what my IP 1
- 2 address is and put me on a map so I can see where I am
- 3 basically from.
- 4 A couple of things. When you say "URL bar," what are you Q.
- referring to? 5
- 6 It is the Internet bar up on top.
- 7 The address bar here? Q.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Where is your IP address reflected here? Q.
- 10 It is reflected on the map itself and also down below right
- 11 now.
- 12 Q. Right here?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Go ahead. Q. OK.
- And so if you want to play. 15 Α. OK.
- This shows me that I am in Chicago. 16 This is my IP
- 17 address where I am currently at in Chicago.
- 18 And so now I'm going to take that hidden service
- 19 dot-onion address and I am going to try to visit that -- do you
- 20 want to pause it, please. I tried to visit that using the
- 21 regular browser. So I put in the same dot-onion address and
- 22 tried to visit it and it comes back as being not available.
- 23 Go ahead, please. 0.
- 24 Α. If you could play it, please.
- 25 So now I minimized that browser and I am going to open

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up the Tor browser, which this is the Tor browser and I am going to do the same steps I did before. So I'm copying the address that tells me where I'm located, and I'm running that in the Tor browser and it's going to tell me where I'm located.

If you can pause it, please.

And so the Tor browser makes it appear as if I'm coming from Germany. And so it says my IP address is in Germany. So any website I visit using the Tor browser right now will think I'm in Germany.

- And where is your apparent IP address listed on the page? Ο.
- 11 It's in the white box in the middle as well as down below.
- 12 It is the 188.138.9.489.
 - If you want to -- was that your actual IP address? 0.
- 14 No, that is not my actual IP address. Α.
- OK. Go ahead. 15 Q.
 - And now if you want to play it, I'm going to try to visit that same hidden service, dot-onion address that I visited or tried visiting with the regular browser, and I am going to try it now using the Tor browser.
 - And if you pause it.

And so just by simply entering the same dot-onion address now with the Tor browser, it makes the website appear. So you can visit it only if you are using the Tor browser.

- Please continue. Ο.
- And then just to show an example, with the two browsers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- side-by-side, I could be on the Internet. It just depends which browser I am using. One will be my true IP address on the left-hand side and the other one will be not my true address, which is one that Tor protects basically the user behind it.
- Q. All right. So the video shows how Tor masks your IP address. From a law enforcement perspective what is the significance of an IP address?
- A. An IP address we can use to identify someone that's potentially doing something behind a computer that is doing things on the Internet.
- So how? How is the IP address potentially useful for that purpose?
 - A. In other investigations that I have done as well as in this investigation, if we had an IP address, we could use that to then do a search on the open Internet, and it will tell us who the Internet service provider is. And from there we could subpoena that company and do some other type of legal process or request who is the person that is either renting or leasing that IP address for that given moment in time.
 - Q. When you say "Internet service provider," what does that mean?
- Internet service provider is a company that basically assigns out and owns IP addresses that provides you your Internet service that comes to your house, something like Time

- Warner or Comcast.
- So let's say I'm a Time Warner customer and I use my 2
- 3 Internet connection to do something illegal on the Internet and
- 4 you as a law enforcement agent find out what I did and the IP
- 5 address associated with it. So just where would you go from
- 6 there?

- 7 A. So if you are a Time Warner customer and you -- and I guess
- if we are able to get your IP address from another website or 8
- 9 from someone else that provided it to us or through
- 10 investigation, I would do a search for that IP address on the
- 11 open Internet. There are several websites that you can use to
- 12 try to find out who the Internet search provider is.
- 13 It will come back to Time Warner? Ο.
- 14 It would come back to Time Warner. Once I found out it was
- 15 Time Warner, I would then issue a subpoena likely to Time
- Warner, and I would ask them for the subscriber information for 16
- 17 the person that would own that particular IP address on that
- 18 given date and time.
- All right. And so if I instead use Tor to do the same 19
- 20 thing, would the same technique work?
- No, it would not. 21 Α.
- 22 Ο. And why is that?
- 23 A. Because that IP address that Tor protects you with is not
- 24 your IP address; it belongs to one of the relays or the parts
- 25 of the network. It is not you.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- How about a computer that hosts a website, are you familiar with the term "server"?
 - Yes, I am. Α.
- 4 What does a server mean in the context of the Internet? 0.
- 5 Server is just basically another word for a computer that 6 usually hosts a website or can run a website.
 - And do you have any experience tracking down the location of a server based on its IP address?
 - Yes, I do. Α.
 - So if a law enforcement officer -- as a law enforcement officer, you are trying to track down the location of a server that hosts a website on the ordinary Internet, how would you go about that?
 - A. Generally, not too different than how we would search for someone that had an IP address in a similar way. You could do open searches on the Internet, again, through like websites like who.is or the main tools that will tell you what an IP address is for a particular website, and it would be the same steps basically from there. We would find out who the Internet service provider it. The Internet search provider will then tell us who the subscriber is. Sometimes it might come back to a hosting company, which is generally used to host websites, or sometimes it can be the subscriber themselves that are operating that website.
 - You mentioned the who.is website. Would you just explain

Der-Yeghiayan - direct

- what kind of website that is? 1
- It is a website that you could search its domain names like 2
- 3 the www.nfl or Google.com, things like that.
- Do you see Government Exhibit 106A, I believe it is, in 4 Q.
- 5 your binder?
- All right. 6 Α.
- 7 Do you recognize what these are, these pages are?
- 8 Α. Yes, I do.
- 9 What are they from? Q.
- 10 It's a screenshot of the website "who.is."
- 11 All right. Would it be helpful in explaining these
- 12 concepts to show this as an example of the who.is website, what
- 13 it does?
- 14 A. Yes, it would be.
- 15 MR. TURNER: Your Honor, we would offer this as a
- demonstrative just to show how this website works. 16
- 17 THE COURT: This is Government Exhibit 106B?
- 18 MR. TURNER: I believe it is 106A.
- 19 Q. Is that it?
- 20 Α. Α.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dratel.
- 22 MR. DRATEL: I'm sorry, we were just -- the same
- 23 objections, your Honor, that we had before.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. I will assume it is the same
- 25 as the prior objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. DRATEL: Yes.

My exhibit list I think doesn't have this THE COURT: from the prior. We will deal with it at the break.

Government 106A is received.

(Government's Exhibit 106A received in evidence)

MR. TURNER: Could you publish it, Mr. Evert. Could you zoom in up at the top. Keep going. There you go. you.

Actually, you are going to have to zoom in some more under the sticker, please. There you go. Yes, that is good. BY MR. TURNER:

- So, just briefly, what does this document show? OK.
- This shows the -- it is the who.is website. Α.
- 14 And what is it a search for in the who.is website? Q.
- 15 Α. This is a page on the who.is website of a search result.
- If you were able -- if you were to search in the box up above 16
- that says "search domain name or IP address" and enter in a 17
- 18 domain, in this instance we looked up NFL.com, it will tell you
- the who.is information for that particular website, including 19
- 20 IP address.
- 21 OK. Where is the IP address located here? Q.
- 22 It's down at the bottom in the blue colored numbers.
- 23 All right. And have you tried doing the same thing for a
- 24 dot-onion address?
- 25 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Could you turn to Government Exhibit 106B. What is this document?
 - A. This is who.is as well as trying to search a dot-onion address.
 - MR. TURNER: Your Honor, again, we just offer this as a demonstrative to show the jury how this website works.

THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 106B is received as such.

(Government's Exhibit 106B received in evidence)
MR. TURNER: Publish that, Mr. Evert. Zoom in,
please.

- Q. OK. So what does this show?
- A. This would be what would generally show up if you tried to query or search for a dot-onion address. In this instance, that is the same address that I had in my video for the dot-onion address that we visited on there.
- Q. OK. So --

THE COURT: Mr. Turner, just for your planning purposes, there will be a couple of housekeeping matters that we will have to take up. So why don't you end in just a few minutes.

MR. TURNER: This is a good place to stop, actually, your Honor. I was going to shift to another topic.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to break for today. I want to give you a couple of

1 | inst

instructions. Joe will give you instructions about how to gather up your stuff and get out and where you should leave your notebooks and things like that.

I want to remind you not to talk to anybody about this case, including each other, anybody at all. Please don't try to become experts on the different parts of the Internet that you may have heard about. Don't do any research or get on and Google things or try to downloads the Tor browser. Again, it is important that all you do is listen to the evidence in this courtroom, and that's the evidence that will be used by you to decide the factual issues in this case.

Again, don't email anybody about this case or update your profile in Facebook.

We're going to start at 9:30 each day. So it is important that if you can get here by about 9:15, get yourself in place and all of that, that would be very helpful. Again, you can bring coffee in or tea, or whatever, water, or whatever you would like so that when we start at 9:30 we are ready to walk out and get going. We can't start until each and every one of you, all 16 of you, are here. So just be aware of that and try to be on time. It very important. Otherwise, this whole room is waiting for you.

All right. Now, tomorrow when you come in, I don't know -- we've got a bunch of different cases going on right now in the courthouse. If there is anybody outside who has got any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

of those pamphlets or posters or anything like that, I instruct you that you are just to put your head down and keep walking. Don't read. Don't look. Don't commune or confer with anybody about anything outside of this building that might have to do with the case or the jury process or anything else. OK?

If you find that you have been approached by somebody or inadvertently you have seen something, let Joe know and then we will take it up. But I ask you, please, to try to avoid any media about this case in terms of newspapers or anything else as well as whatever may be going on outside. All right?

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We'll see you tomorrow morning.

> THE CLERK: All rise as the jury leaves. (Continued on next page)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 (Jury not present)

THE COURT: All right. Sir, you can step down. We are going to continue tomorrow morning at 9:30. So I will have you back on the stand at 9:30.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead and step out.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Witness not present)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let's all be seated and we'll take up a few matters now.

First, and just in terms of the logistics, so I have two exhibit lists, the one that was handed to me this morning, that is I think the updated government exhibit list and then I have the one that has all of the objections on it. To the extent that there are documents that are on the new list that were not on the old list, I don't have any indication as to objection. That was the nature of why I said I assume it is the prior objection for 106B. And so I am assuming that Mr. Dratel has had an opportunity to see the documents that are not on my prior list.

MR. TURNER: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Dratel, we'll take it step-by-step, but if they are just going to be the same documents of a type, then your other objections will be, I think, obvious.

MR. DRATEL: OK.

THE COURT: And my rulings will be similarly, and the basis therefor will similarly be obvious.

MR. DRATEL: Right. Some of them we received over the last couple of days so I'm not even sure if they are on the government's list. So sometimes it is hard to — at least the one that we have, but it is hard to make the comparison the same way that the Court is doing. I am trying to do it in a way that covers that.

THE COURT: All right. So we'll just take it step-by-step.

It's not my practice to have standing objections throughout the entirety of a case, particularly when virtually all the documents were objected to. But we'll take it, again, step-by-step. So today we had a standing objection that stood for all of the documents this afternoon. It may be, Mr. Dratel, that that makes sense for tomorrow.

Why don't you go through a couple and then do something similar. If you are finding it is the same, I don't mind doing that. If it is going to be repetitive for you, I don't think it is necessary for you to seem to be objecting all the time if you don't want to be, and I understand that.

MR. DRATEL: Yes. And I'm not sure whether the Court -- I will check with counsel as to whether the Court included objections in your instructions to the jury about not

to take any -- you know, I know the Court said nothing you say is evidence and nothing you say expresses an opinion. I'm not sure you mentioned objections specifically. But, obviously, I am conscious of that issue --

THE COURT: Yes. I am happy if you would like me to give an instruction to the jury that, ladies and gentlemen, you should not draw any inference of any kind from the objections that counsel may make on either side. It is their responsibility and it is expected. And if you want me to do something like that, I am happy to do it. Why don't you let me know in the morning.

MR. DRATEL: I think so, yes. We will discuss it. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, that is on the objections.

Was there anything you folks wanted to raise? I've got one more matter.

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: One I want to take up at the sidebar but one I want to do here.

All right. Outside there are still some folks. That's what I was checking on before. Now, it's, frankly, going to -- what we'll have to do is -- and I think that the defense has not wanted this and so I am not suggesting the defense has any control over this. But to the extent that there are still people outside the courthouse who are

approaching jurors and approaching people who they perceive to be jurors, it creates obviously issues for us and does lead us to the need, potentially, very potentially, for an anonymous jury. I am happy to make that decision tomorrow, to let it go into the morning and see whether or not we have any issues tomorrow morning. But if we do, then we will have to go with an anonymous jury and have the jurors also have — be brought out to a different place and brought in specially.

I prefer for the defendant not to do that. I know, Mr. Dratel, you have objected and not wanted to have an anonymous jury. But if individuals are continuing, I don't really know if we've got much alternative.

Do you have any views?

MR. DRATEL: Yes. I will put it on the record so that maybe the press will report it, that the people think that they are helping the defense by being out there doing this. They are not.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. DRATEL: When it gets to that stage that the Court has to act, it hurts us. Hopefully, that will be reported and that will reach the people.

THE COURT: The Court has held off. We will try to take it step-by-step, but at this point the defendant would not like to go this way but, really, it leaves me no alternative.

MR. DRATEL: Obviously, let's see how it plays out

tomorrow, but I am sort of stating that on the record so that, hopefully, the people are out of my control and out of Mr. Ulbricht's control and will desist on their own.

THE COURT: We will see how things go tomorrow morning and then we'll make a decision, but we won't let it go any longer than that because it's a classic reason to allow for additional procedures.

Now, those are the matters that I had apart from one additional item I wanted to take up over at the sidebar.

Are there things that you folks would like to raise right now?

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor. Thank you.

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we will start tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, take up any additional housekeeping matters that may come in overnight. If there is anything tomorrow morning that you folks want to alert me to in terms of what might be coming up that I should be aware of, surprises, etc., you will let me know tomorrow morning at 9. If we don't need to take up all the time between 9 and 9:30, so be it, we will take a break and get some coffee.

Can I have counsel at sidebar, please?

(Pages 118 through 119 sealed by order of the Court)

F1DDULB6 (Adjourned to January 14, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.) 1 2 3 INDEX OF EXAMINATION 4 Examination of: Page 5 JARED DER-YEGHIAYAN 6 7 GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS 8 Exhibit No. Received 9 100A 10 100C 11 104 12 102D 13 102B 14 102C 15 107 16 106A 17 106B 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25